IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Stephen P. Hershey et al.

Serial No.:

10/603,240

Filed:

June 24, 2003

Group No.:

2619

Examiner:

John Pezzlo

Notice of Allowance Mailed: April 14, 2008

Confirmation No.:

5628

Title:

DISTRIBUTED DYNAMIC CHANNEL SELECTION IN A

COMMUNICATION NETWORK

Mail Stop Issue Fee

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

RESPONSE TO REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's allowance of Claims 1, 3-24, 26-47, and 49-71. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.104, Applicants respectfully issue a statement commenting on the Examiner's reasons for allowance. Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's reasons for allowance to the extent that they are inconsistent with applicable case law, statutes, and regulations. Furthermore, Applicants do not admit to any characterization or limitation of the claims or to any characterization of a reference by the Examiner, particularly any that are inconsistent with the language of the claims considered in their entirety and including all of their constituent limitations.

> Respectfully submitted, BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. Attorneys/for Applicants

Bradley P. Williams stration No. 40,227

Date: 7/14/08

CUSTOMER NO. 45507